

SKEIN THEORY AND WITTEN-RESHETIKHIN-TURAEV INVARIANTS OF LINKS IN LENS SPACES

PATRICK M. GILMER

Louisiana State University

ABSTRACT. We study the behavior of the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev $SU(2)$ invariants of an arbitrary link in $L(p, q)$ as a function of the level $r - 2$. They are given by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}$ times one of p Laurent polynomials evaluated at $e^{\frac{2\pi i}{4pr}}$. The congruence class of r modulo p determines which polynomial is applicable. If $p \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, the meridian of $L(p, q)$ is non-trivial in the skein module but has trivial Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev $SU(2)$ invariants. On the other hand, we show that one may recover the element in the Kauffman bracket skein module of $L(p, q)$ represented by a link from the collection of the WRT invariants at all levels if p is a prime or twice an odd prime. By a more delicate argument, this is also shown to be true for $p = 9$.

This version: 7/6/98, First Version: 1/28/98

§1 INTRODUCTION

We consider the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev $SU(2)$ invariants $\{w_r(M, J)\}_{r \geq 2} \in \mathbb{C}$. [W,RT] These are the invariants of Witten at level $r - 2$. We specify the precise version of the WRT invariant that we study near the beginning of §2.

Let M be a closed oriented 3-manifold. Let $S(M)$ be the Kauffman bracket skein module. Recall this is a module over $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[A, A^{-1}]$. Elements of $S(M)$ are linear combinations of links over Λ modulo the Kauffman relations. Let J be a framed link in M , then J represents an element in $S(M)$, called its skein class. We will use the symbol $\mathcal{J}$ to represent a general skein element.

It is immediate from the definition of w_r that $w_r(M, J)$ only depends on the skein class of J . In fact we may extend the definition of w_r to $S(M)$, by extending linearly, and sending A to $-a_r$. Here and throughout, we adopt the notation that $\xi_g = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{g}}$, and $a_r = \xi_{4r}$. We may study the behavior of w_r on links in M by calculating w_r for generators of $S(M)$.

Hoste and Przytycki [HP] have calculated the skein module for $L(p, q)$. Throughout this paper p will be an integer greater than one. $S(L(p, q))$ is the free Λ module generated by $\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor + 1$ generators: $x_0, x_1, x_2 \cdots x_{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor}$. Here x_0 is given by the empty link. We denote this module by $\Lambda(x_0, x_1 \cdots x_{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor})$. When $L(p, q)$ is presented as

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57M99.

Key words and phrases. skein, lens space, Gauss Sum.

This research was partially supported by a grant from the Louisiana Education Quality Support Fund

$-p/q$ surgery to the unknot, x_1 is given by a meridian to this unknot, and x_i is given by i parallel meridians. We may consider μ_c , a meridian colored by c , as an element in $S(L(p, q))$. By this, we mean one should place the skein element $e_c \in S(S^1 \times D^2)$ defined in [BHMV1] into a tubular neighborhood of a meridian. The recursion definition in $S(S^1 \times D^2)$ for e_c is $e_c = \alpha e_{c-1} - e_{c-2}$, $e_0 = 1, e_{-1} = 0$. It is useful to define e_c for c negative as well, by running the above recursion formula backwards. The recursion definition implies that $\alpha^c \in \Lambda(e_0, \dots, e_c)$, for $c \geq 0$. Here we let α denote $S^1 \times 0$ with the standard framing in $S^1 \times D^2$. Thus $S(L(p, q))$ is also the Λ module generated by $\mu_0, \mu_1, \mu_2 \dots \mu_{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor}$.

We wish to study $w_r(L(p, q), \mu_c)$ for $r \geq 2$, and $p \geq 2$. We will be particularly interested in $0 \leq c \leq \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor$.

The answer involves generalized Gauss sums:

$$\mathcal{G}_p(a, b) = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \xi_p^{an^2 + bn}.$$

Section two of [LL] gives two convenient theorems which allow the evaluation of such sums. We will refer these theorems frequently. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, consider the following generalized Gauss sum:

$$G_{\pm}(p, q, c, k) = \mathcal{G}_p(qk, qc + q \pm 1).$$

Note that

$$G_{\pm}(p, q, c, k) = G_{\pm}(p, q, c, k + p) = G_{\pm}(p, q, c + p, k) = \overline{G_{\pm}(p, q, c, -k)}.$$

For $0 \leq k < p$, define $f_{p,q,c,k}(z)$ to be the Laurent polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$:

$$\frac{i(-1)^{c+1}}{\sqrt{2p}} z^{12p \ s(q,p) + q(c^2 + 2c)} \left(G_+(p, q, c, k) z^{2(c+1)} - G_-(p, q, c, k) z^{-2(c+1)} \right).$$

Here $s(q, p)$ is a Dedekind sum. Then

$$f_{p,q,c,k}(z) = -\overline{f_{p,q,c,p-k}(\bar{z})}.$$

The following theorem in the case c is zero is Jeffrey's formula [J] for $w_r(L(p, q))$. In §2, we will prove this theorem by adapting Jeffrey's proof.

Theorem 1. *If $r \equiv k \pmod{p}$,*

$$\sqrt{r} \ w_r(L(p, q), \mu_c) = f_{p,q,c,k}(\xi_{4pr})$$

Suppose J is a link in $L(p, q)$, let $[J] = \sum_{c=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} C_{J,c}(A) [\mu_c] \in S(L(p, q))$. Note $C_{J,c}(A) \in \Lambda$. Then $\sqrt{r} \ w_r(L(p, q), J) = \sum_{c=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} f_{p,q,c,r}(\xi_{4pr}) C_{J,c}(-a_r)$. For $0 \leq k < p$, let

$$(1.1) \quad f_{J,k}(z) = \sum_{c=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} f_{p,q,c,k}(z) C_{J,c}(-z^p) \in \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$$

Since $C_{J,c}$ has integral and therefore real coefficients,

$$(1.2) \quad f_{J,k}(z) = -\overline{f_{J,p-k}(\bar{z})}$$

Corollary 1. *If J is a link in $L(p, q)$ (or any element of $S(L(p, q))$), then for each $0 \leq k < p$, there is a polynomial $f_{J,k}(z)$ in $\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$ such that for $r \equiv k \pmod{p}$,*

$$\sqrt{r} w_r(L(p, q), J) = f_{J,k}(\xi_{4pr}).$$

Thus there are p Laurent polynomials which describe $\sqrt{r} w_r(L(p, q), J)$ as a function of ξ_{4pr} . Each function is applicable if one restricts r to a particular congruence class modulo p . Moreover the last $p - \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - 1$ of these polynomials are determined in a simple way by the first $\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor + 1$ of these polynomials.

In [G1], we showed that if J is a link in a connected sum of g $S^1 \times S^2$'s, then $w_r(S^3)^{g-1} w_r(\#^g S^1 \times S^2, J)$ is given by a rational function in a_r for r large enough (depending on J). We also gave examples where this formula fails for small r . Moreover if $g = 0$ or one, this rational function is a Laurent polynomial. We were interested in whether similar statements could be made about links in lens spaces. This was the genesis of the present paper.

Lawrence and Rozansky have described the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev $SU(2)$ invariants of a Seifert rational homology sphere by a single holomorphic function of r [LR]. See also [L1,L2]. They also show that Otsuki's power series in $h = q - 1$ evaluated by setting q to be an r th root of unity converges r -adically to the r -th Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant (associated to $SO(3)$), if r is an odd prime which is relatively prime to the order of the first homology. Suppose we normalize w_r to be 1 on S^3 by dividing by $w_r(S^3)$. Then $w_r(S^3)^{-1} w_r(L(p, q), J)$ for fixed congruence class of r modulo p is given by a rational function of ξ_{4pr} . As we vary the congruence class of r modulo p , we obtain different functions. Note the case $c = 0$ in Theorem 1, which is really just a reinterpretation of Jeffrey's result [J, Theorem (3.4)], concerns $L(p, q)$ with the empty link. Thus work of Lawrence and Rozansky applies in this case, but describes the dependence on r in a different way. We use several rational functions of ξ_{4pr} . Lawrence and Rozansky use a single holomorphic function of r . This holomorphic function is defined by a line integral. Recently the r -adic convergence mentioned above has been extended to links in a general rational homology sphere [R].

Using [LL], one may see that if $p \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and c is odd, then $G_{\pm}(p, q, c, k)$ is zero.

Corollary 2. *If $p \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and c is odd, $w_r(L(p, q), \mu_c) = 0$. Similarly, if $p \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and c is odd and positive, $w_r(L(p, q), x_c) = 0$.*

To see the last statement, we note: If c is odd and positive, $\alpha^c \in \Lambda(e_1, e_3 \cdots, e_c) \subset S(S^1 \times D^2)$. In particular for any $n \geq 1$, the meridian in $L(4n, q)$ represents a non-trivial skein class but has all WRT invariants trivial.

On the other hand, we can sometimes show that the skein class of a link may be recovered from its WRT invariants. Suppose we are given $\sqrt{r} w_r(L(p, q), J)$ for all r , then $f_{J,k}$ is determined for all k in the range $0 \leq k < p$. This is because a non-zero Laurent polynomial cannot have infinitely many roots. Let $\mathcal{R}$ denote the field of rational functions in z with complex coefficients. Suppose the $p \times (\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor + 1)$ matrix $[f_{p,q,c,k}(z)]_{0 \leq k \leq p, 0 \leq c \leq \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor}$ over $\mathcal{R}$ has rank $1 + \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor$. Then we could solve the set of equations (1.1) for $C_{J,c}(-z^p)$. Thus $C_{J,c}(A)$ would be determined for all c .

Let $\mathbb{S}(L(p, q))$ denote the skein module over $\mathcal{R}$ where $A = -z^p$. This is the free $\mathcal{R}$ module generated by framed links in $\mathbb{S}(L(p, q))$ modulo the submodule generated by isotopy and the usual Kauffman relations where now we take A to be $-z^p$. We may

then define w_r for skein classes in $\mathbb{S}(L(p, q))$ by sending z to ξ_{4pr} . Equation (1.1) will still hold but Equation (1.2) will not, as $C_{J,c}(z)$ need not have real coefficients. If $[f_{p,q,c,k}(z)]_{0 \leq k \leq p, 0 \leq c \leq [\frac{p}{2}]}$ over $\mathcal{R}$ has rank less than $1 + [\frac{p}{2}]$, then there will be non-trivial classes in $\mathbb{S}(L(p, q))$ with trivial WRT invariants. In §3 and §4, we will study the rank of this matrix to prove:

Theorem 2. *Skein classes $\mathcal{J} \in \mathbb{S}(L(p, q))$ are determined by $w_r(L(p, q), \mathcal{J})$ for all r if and only if p is a prime or twice an odd prime*

In particular, there is a non-trivial skein class $\mathcal{J}$ in $\mathbb{S}(L(9, q))$ with trivial WRT-invariants. The subset of skein classes with trivial WRT-invariants turn out to form a one dimensional line in the $\mathcal{R}$ -vector space $\mathbb{S}(L(9, q))$. Moreover this line does not intersect the ordinary skein module $S(L(9, q))$, except at the trivial class. In this way, we will prove in §5:

Theorem 3. *Let q be prime to 9. Every skein class $\mathcal{J}$ in $S(L(9, q))$ is determined by $w_r(L(9, q), \mathcal{J})$ for all r .*

§2 PROOF OF THEOREM 1

This result is obtained by studying Jeffrey's calculation of the WRT invariant of lens spaces. However we must first relate this calculation to the skein theoretic definition of the invariant.

We find it convenient to use the variant of the [BHMV2] TQFT defined in [G2], where p_1 structures are replaced, following Walker [Wa], with Lagrangian subspaces and integers. A closed oriented surface (possibly empty) Σ together with a choice of Lagrangian subspace of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ is an object of a cobordism category $\mathcal{C}_{2r}$. A morphism from Σ to Σ' is a compact oriented 3-manifold M , weighted by an integer w , and containing a (possibly empty) colored framed link J such that boundary of M comes equipped with an identification with $-\Sigma \amalg \Sigma'$. For simplicity, we have only describe some of the objects and morphism in $\mathcal{C}_{2r}$. The TQFT assigns modules $V_{2r}(\Sigma)$ to objects Σ . A morphism (M, J, w) from Σ to Σ' , defines a linear map $Z_{2r}(M, J, w) : V_{2r}(\Sigma) \rightarrow V_{2r}(\Sigma)'$. $V_{2r}(\emptyset)$ is the ground ring k_{2r} . If M has no boundary, $Z_{2r}(M, J, w)$ is multiplication by $\langle (M, J, w) \rangle_{2r}$.

We can now specify the version of the WRT $SU(2)$ invariant that we study. $w_r(M, J) = \psi(\langle (M, J, 0) \rangle_{2r})$. Here $\psi : k_{2r} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the homomorphism [MR, note p.134] which sends A to $-a_r$, and sends κ to ζa_r^{-1} . Here $\zeta = \xi_8$.

$L(p, q)$ is obtained by gluing together two copies of $S^1 \times D^2$ by the self-homeomorphism U of $S^1 \times S^1$ given with respect to a meridian-longitude basis by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ p & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. $L(p, q)$ weighted by an integer n as a morphism of the cobordism category is denoted $(L(p, q), n)$. Let Σ denote the torus $S^1 \times S^1$ assigned the Lagrangian subspace generated by the meridian $[S^1 \times 1]$. For each integer c , let H_c be the morphism from the empty set to Σ given by $S^1 \times D^2$ weighted zero, with the core $S^1 \times 0$ with the standard framing colored by c . Let $\tilde{H}_c$ be this same manifold (with the opposite orientation) viewed as a morphism from Σ to the empty set. In $\mathcal{C}_{2r}$, we have that $(L(p, q), 0)$ is the composition $\tilde{H}_0 \circ C(U, 0) \circ H_0$. We let $C(U, w)$ denotes the mapping cylinder of U weighted by an integer w . More generally, we have that $L(p, q)$ weighted zero with the meridian colored c is given by the composition $\tilde{H}_c \circ C(U, 0) \circ H_0$. H_c represents an element β_c in $V_{2r}(\Sigma)$. As c ranges over $0 \leq c \leq r - 2$, these elements form an orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B}$. We will also need

elements $\nu_s = (-1)^{s-1} \beta_{s-1}$. By [BHMV1,6.3], they have the following symmetries

$$2.1 \quad \nu_l = \nu_{2r+l} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_l = -\nu_{-l} \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Note that $w_r(L(p, q), (-1)^{l-1} \mu_{l-1})$ will possess the same symmetries. Let $\mathbb{B}$ denote the basis with elements ν_s : for $1 \leq s \leq r-1$.

As usual, we let $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Let $J(m) = T^m S$. Then $C(J(m), 0) = C(T, 0)^m \circ C(S, 0)$, as the contribution of σ (Maslov index) in the formula for the computation of the weight of a composition is zero. Following [J] we expand $U = J(m_t) \circ J(m_{t-1}) \cdots J(m_1)$, where $m_t = 0$, and $t > 1$. Let $U_i = J(m_i) \cdots J(m_1)$. Let c_i denote the lower left entry in U_i . For $1 \leq i \leq t$, define w_i by $C(U_i, w_i) = C(J(m_i), 0) \circ \cdots \circ C(J(m_1), 0)$. So $w_1 = 0$. For $1 < i \leq t$, we have $C(U_i, w_i) = C(J(m_i), 0) \circ C(U_{i-1}, w_{i-1})$. Computing the contribution of σ , one has that $w_i = w_{i-1} + \text{sign}(c_{i-1} c_i)$. Thus $w_t = \sum_{i=2}^t \text{sign}(c_{i-1} c_i)$. This is the signature of the matrix W_L of [J, Equation 3.6], at least assuming this matrix is a definite matrix which we may insist without loss of generality. Note that $\text{Trace}(W_L) = \sum_i m_i$.

With respect to $\mathbb{B}$, $Z_{2r}(T, 0)$ is given by $\hat{T}_{l,j} = \delta_l^j (-A)^{l^2-1}$, and $Z_{2r}(S, 0)$ is given by $\hat{S}_{l,j} = \eta_{2r}[lj]$ [G2]. Here j and l range from 1 to $r-1$. Also $[k]$ denotes the quantum integer $\frac{A^{2k} - A^{-2k}}{A^2 - A^{-2}}$, and η_{2r} is the scalar of [BHMV2] with $\psi(\eta_{2r}) = -\frac{a_r^2 - a_r^{-2}}{\sqrt{2r}} i$. Witten [W] used a unitary matrix representation $\mathcal{R}_r$ of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, with $\mathcal{R}_r(S) = \psi(\hat{S})$ and $\mathcal{R}_r(T) = a_r \zeta^{-1} \psi(\hat{T}) = \delta_l^j \zeta a_r^{l^2}$. In [J], Jeffrey found an explicit formula for $\mathcal{R}_r \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \right)$ in terms of a, b, c , and d . With respect to $\mathbb{B}$, we have

$$(\zeta a_r^{-1})^{3\text{Sign}(W_L)} \psi(Z_{2r}(C(U, 0))) = \psi(Z_{2r}(C(U, w_t))) = (\zeta a_r^{-1})^{\text{Trace}(W_L)} \mathcal{R}_r(U).$$

Since the Rademacher Φ -function is given by [J, 3.2][KM]

$$\Phi(U) = \text{Trace}(W_L) - 3 \text{Sign}(W_L),$$

we have

$$\psi(Z_{2r}(C(U, 0))) = (\zeta a_r^{-1})^{\Phi(U)} \mathcal{R}_r(U).$$

This is exactly the correction factor Jeffrey used to find the Witten invariant of $L(p, q)$ in the canonical framing [J, Lemma 3.3, Theorem 3.4]. We have for $1 \leq l \leq r-1$:

$$w_r(L(p, q), (-1)^{l-1} \mu_{l-1}) = \psi(\langle \nu_l, Z_r(C(U, 0)) \nu_1 \rangle) = (\zeta a_r^{-1})^{\Phi(U)} \mathcal{R}_r(U)_{l,1}$$

Jeffrey [J, Theorem 3.4] derived and simplified an expression for $(\zeta a_r^{-1})^{\Phi(U)} \mathcal{R}_r(U)_{1,1}$. One may adapt her calculation as follows. Extending [J, Equation (3.8 $\frac{1}{2}$)] to the case $l \neq 1$, we have in our notation

$$2.2 \quad w_r(L(p, q), (-1)^{l-1} \mu_{l-1}) = \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2rp}} a_r^{-\Phi(U)} \xi_{4rq}^b \sum_{n=1}^p \sum_{\pm} \pm \xi_{4rpq}^{(q\gamma \pm 1)^2}$$

where $\gamma = l + 2rn$, and $1 \leq l \leq r-1$.

Note that $q\gamma^2 \pm 2\gamma$ modulo $4rp$ only depends on n modulo p . Let $S_{\pm}(l)$ denote the unordered list, with multiplicity, of the values of $q\gamma^2 \pm 2\gamma$ modulo $4rp$ as n varies from 1 to p . Then $S_{\pm}(l + 2r) = S_{\pm}(l)$, and $S_{\pm}(-l) = S_{\mp}(l)$. So $\sum_{n=1}^p \sum_{\pm} \pm \xi_{4rpq}^{(q\gamma^2 \pm 1)^2} = \xi_{4rpq} \sum_{n=1}^p \sum_{\pm} \pm \xi_{4rp}^{q\gamma^2 \pm 2\gamma}$ remains the same when l is replaced by $l + 2r$, and changes sign when l is replaced by $-l$. By (2.1), it follows that (2.2) holds for all integers l .

As

$$(q\gamma \pm 1)^2 = q^2 l^2 + 1 \pm 2ql + 4rq(qrn^2 + qln \pm n),$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} w_r(L(p, q), \mu_c) &= \frac{i(-1)^{c+1}}{\sqrt{2rp}} \sum_{\pm} \pm \xi_{4rpq}^{pb-pq\Phi(U)+q^2l^2+1\pm 2ql} \sum_{n=1}^p \xi_p^{(qr)n^2+(ql\pm 1)n} \\ &= \frac{i(-1)^{c+1}}{\sqrt{2rp}} \xi_{4rpq}^{pb-pq\Phi(U)+q^2l^2+1} \sum_{\pm} \pm \xi_{2rp}^{\pm l} G_{\pm}(p, q, c, r). \end{aligned}$$

Here l denotes $c + 1$. This holds for all integers c . But now making use of $1 = \det(U) = qd - bp$, and the definition of Φ , we have:

$$pb - pq\Phi(U) + q^2l^2 + 1 = q^2(l^2 - 1) + 12pq s(d, p) = q^2(l^2 - 1) + 12pq s(q, p)$$

As in [J], we have noted that $d \equiv q^* \pmod{p}$, where q^* is an integer with $qq^* \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, and that $s(d, q) = s(q^*, p) = s(q, p)$. Thus

$$\sqrt{r} w_r(L(p, q), \mu_c) = \frac{i(-1)^{c+1}}{\sqrt{2p}} \xi_{4rp}^{12p s(q, p) + q(c^2 + 2c)} \sum_{\pm} \pm \xi_{4rp}^{\pm 2(c+1)} G_{\pm}(p, q, c, r)$$

A key observation for this paper is that r enters the right hand side of this last formula in only two places in ξ_{4rp} which we may think of as a variable z and in $G_{\pm}(p, q, c, r)$ where its contribution only depends on $r \pmod{p}$.

Thus if $r \equiv k \pmod{p}$, then

$$\sqrt{r} w_r(L(p, q), \mu_c) = f_{p, q, c, k}(\xi_{4pr}).$$

§3 PROOF OF THEOREM 2 (ONLY IF PART)

Using [LL] one may prove:

Lemma 1. *If $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and $b^2 \equiv b'^2 \pmod{p}$, then $\mathcal{G}_p(a, b) = \mathcal{G}_p(a, b')$.*

Let $\#_p$ denote the number of squares modulo p .

Lemma 2. *The number of distinct columns appearing in either of the matrices $[G_+(p, q, c, k)]_{1 \leq k < p, 0 \leq c \leq \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor}$ or $[G_-(p, q, c, k)]_{1 \leq k < p, 0 \leq c \leq \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor}$ is less than or equal to $\#_p$.*

Lemma 3. *The rank of $[f_{p, q, c, k}(z)]_{0 \leq k < p, 0 \leq c \leq \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor}$ is less than or equal to $1 + \#_p$.*

Proof. The columns of $[f_{p, q, c, k}(z)]_{1 \leq k < p, 0 \leq c \leq \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor}$ are linear combinations of the columns discussed in Lemma 2. Thus the rank of this matrix is less than or equal to $\#_p$. $[f_{p, q, c, k}(z)]_{0 \leq k < p, 0 \leq c \leq \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor}$ has one extra row. $\square$

Lemma 4. *If p is not prime, twice an odd prime, four or nine, then $\#_p < [\frac{p}{2}]$.*

Proof. Suppose $(a, b) = 1$, then $\mathbb{Z}_{ab} = \mathbb{Z}_a \oplus \mathbb{Z}_b$ as rings, and so $\#_{ab} = \#_a \#_b$. If $\#_a < [\frac{a}{2}]$, then the lemma holds for $p = ab$, where $(a, b) = 1$. If p satisfies the hypothesis, then it can be written as $a \cdot b$ with $(a, b) = 1$, and a of one of the following forms. In each case, we show why $\#_a < [\frac{a}{2}]$.

(i) $a = 2^t$ with $t \geq 3$. The case $a = 8$ may be easily checked, so suppose $t \geq 4$. Then every square is the square of a number in the range from zero to 2^{t-1} . However zero, 2^{t-2} , and 2^{t-1} are in this range and have the same square.

(ii) $a = s^{2t}$ with s an odd prime and $t \geq 1$. If $t = 1$, assume $s \geq 5$, i.e. $a \neq 9$. Then every square is the square of a number in the range from zero to $[\frac{a}{2}]$. However zero, s^t , and $2s^t$ are in this range and have the same square.

(iii) $a = s^{2t+1}$ with s an odd prime, and $t \geq 1$. Then every square is the square of a number in the range from zero to $[\frac{a}{2}]$. However $0^2 = (s^{t+1})^2$, and $\left(\frac{s^{t+1}+s^t}{2}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{s^{t+1}-s^t}{2}\right)^2$ are the squares of numbers from this range.

(iv) $a = s_1 \cdot s_2$, where s_1 and s_2 are distinct odd primes. So $\#_a = \#_{s_1 \cdot s_2} = \#_{s_1} \cdot \#_{s_2} = \frac{(s_1+1)}{2} \cdot \frac{(s_2+1)}{2} < [\frac{s_1 \cdot s_2}{2}] = [\frac{a}{2}]$.

(v) a is four times an odd prime, nine times an odd prime (other than 3), $2 \cdot 9$, or $4 \cdot 9$. These cases are dealt with as in (iv). $\square$

These lemmas yield the only if part of Theorem 2, except for the cases $p = 4$, and $p = 9$. When $p = 4$, $[f_{p,q,c,k}(z)]_{0 \leq k < p, 0 \leq c \leq [\frac{p}{2}]}$ has a column of zeros by the proof of Corollary 2. When $p = 9$ direct calculation (see §5) shows this matrix has rank four.

§4 PROOF OF THEOREM 2 (IF PART)

Let $\hat{c}$ be defined by $0 \leq \hat{c} \leq p-1$ and $\hat{c} \equiv q_p^*(c-1) - 1 \pmod{p}$. Note that $q\hat{c} + q + 1 \equiv c \pmod{p}$. So that:

$$G_+(p, q, \hat{c}, k) = \mathcal{G}_p(qk, c)$$

Let Δ and Γ be functions from $\{0, \dots, [\frac{p}{2}]\}$ to $\{0, \dots, p-1\}$ such that $\Delta(0) = 0$, and $\Gamma(0) = \hat{0}$. We will show that $[f_{p,q,c,k}(z)]_{0 \leq k < p, 0 \leq c \leq [\frac{p}{2}]}$ has rank $1 + [\frac{p}{2}]$ by showing the determinant $[f_{p,q,\Gamma(c),\Delta(k)}(z)]_{0 \leq k \leq [\frac{p}{2}], 0 \leq c \leq [\frac{p}{2}]}$ is non-zero for some choice of Γ and Δ . It suffices to show the determinant of $[G_+(p, q, \Gamma(c), \Delta(k))(z)]_{0 \leq k \leq [\frac{p}{2}], 0 \leq c \leq [\frac{p}{2}]}$ is nonzero.

Note

$$G_+(p, q, \hat{c}, 0) = \mathcal{G}_p(0, c) = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } c = 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } c \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus we only need to show $[G_+(p, q, \Delta(c), \Gamma(k))]_{1 \leq k \leq [\frac{p}{2}], 1 \leq c \leq [\frac{p}{2}]}$ is nonsingular over $\mathbb{C}$, for some Δ and Γ . If $p = 2$, this matrix is a one by one matrix with entry $\mathcal{G}_2(1, 1) = 2$. Thus we may assume p is an odd prime or twice an odd prime.

The case p is an odd prime.

Let t_u^* be defined by $tt_u^* \equiv 1 \pmod{u}$, if t is prime to u . Define $\Delta(k) = k_p^*$, if $k \neq 0$. Define $\Gamma(c) = \hat{c}$. We note that for $k \neq 0$, we have by [LL]

$$G_+(p, q, \hat{c}, k_p^*) = \mathcal{G}_p(qk_p^*, c) = \epsilon(p) \left(\frac{qk_p^*}{p} \right) \sqrt{p} \left(q\lambda_p^{c^2} \right)^k$$

Here ${}_q\lambda_p$ denotes the primitive p th root of unity $\xi_p^{-q^*(\frac{p+1}{2})^2}$. Also $\epsilon(p)$ is one or i accordingly as p is one or three modulo four, and $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$ denotes a Jacobi symbol. So the rows of $\det[G_+(p, q, \hat{c}, k^*)]_{1 \leq k \leq \frac{p}{2}, 1 \leq c \leq \frac{p}{2}}$ are non-zero multiples of the rows of a Vandermonde matrix with a non-zero determinant. Here we make use of the fact that the numbers ${}_q\lambda_p^{c^2}$, as c varies from 1 to $[\frac{p}{2}]$ are all distinct. For this it is important that as c ranges over $1 \leq c \leq [\frac{p}{2}]$, c^2 does not repeat itself modulo p .

The case p is twice an odd prime s .

For this case, we define Γ by saying that $\Gamma(0), \Gamma(1), \dots, \Gamma(s)$ in sequence are given by $\hat{0}, \hat{2}, \hat{4}, \dots, (s-1), \hat{1}, \hat{3}, \dots, \hat{s}$. Also define Δ by saying $\Delta(0), \Delta(1), \dots, \Delta(s)$ in sequence are given by $0, 2(1_s^*), 2(2_s^*), \dots, 2(\frac{s-1}{2}_s)^*, 1_p^*, 3_p^*, \dots, (s-2)_p^*, s$. Since p is even, q is odd, and $\hat{c} \equiv c \pmod{2}$. Thus for both Γ and Δ , even values come first, and then odd values follow.

Next notice that by [LL]

$$G_+(2s, q, \hat{c}, s) = \mathcal{G}_{2s}(qs, c) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } c \text{ is relatively prime to } s \\ 2s, & \text{if } c = s. \end{cases}$$

Thus the last row of $[G_+(p, q, \Gamma(c), \Delta(k))]_{1 \leq k \leq s, 1 \leq c \leq s}$ consists of all zeros except for the last entry of $2s$. Thus we only need to show $[G_+(p, q, \Gamma(c), \Delta(k))]_{1 \leq k \leq s-1, 1 \leq c \leq s-1}$ has a nonzero determinant. For j relatively prime to s , and $c \not\equiv j \pmod{2}$ by [LL]:

$$G_+(2s, q, \hat{c}, j) = \mathcal{G}_{2s}(qj, c) = 0$$

Thus our matrix is a block matrix of the form $\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$.

We now study the matrix A . For $1 \leq d \leq \frac{s-1}{2}$, and $1 \leq j \leq \frac{s-1}{2}$, by [LL] we have:

$$G_+(2s, q, (\hat{2}d), 2j_s^*) = \mathcal{G}_{2s}(2qj_s^*, 2d) = 2\mathcal{G}_s(qj_s^*, d) = 2\epsilon(s) \left(\frac{qj_s^*}{s} \right) \sqrt{s} \left({}_q\lambda_s^{d^2} \right)^j$$

where now we let ${}_q\lambda_s$ be the primitive s th root of unity $\xi_s^{-q^*(\frac{s+1}{2})^2}$. Thus the rows of A are nonzero multiples of the rows of a Vandermonde matrix with a non-zero determinant. Here it is important that as d ranges over $1 \leq d \leq \frac{s-1}{2}$, d^2 does not repeat itself modulo s .

Now we study the matrix B . For $1 \leq d \leq s-2$, and $1 \leq j \leq s-2$, with d and j odd, by [LL] we have:

$$G_+(2s, q, \hat{d}, j_p^*) = \mathcal{G}_{2s}(qj_p^*, d) = 2\epsilon(s) \left(\frac{2qj_p^*}{s} \right) \sqrt{s} \left({}_q\omega_s^{d^2} \right)^j$$

where now we let ${}_q\omega_s$ be the primitive s th root of unity $\xi_s^{-q^*(\frac{s+1}{2})^3}$. Let ${}_q\theta_s$ be the primitive s th root of unity ${}_q\omega_s^2$. So $\left({}_q\omega_s^{d^2} \right)^j = {}_q\omega_s^{d^2} \left({}_q\theta_s^{d^2} \right)^{\frac{j-1}{2}}$. We may take a nonzero factor of ${}_q\omega_s^{d^2}$ out of each column. The rows of the resulting matrix are non-zero multiples of the rows of a Vandermonde matrix with a non-zero determinant. Here it is important that as d ranges over odd numbers such that $1 \leq d \leq s-2$, d^2 does not repeat itself modulo s .

This completes the proof.

§5 PROOF OF THEOREM 3

There are only two lens spaces of order nine up to (not necessarily orientation preserving) diffeomorphism: $L(9, 1)$, and $L(9, 4)$. Thus we need only concern ourselves with $q = 1$ and $q = 4$.

Using Mathematica we have found that the subset of $\mathbb{S}(9, 1)$ with trivial WRT invariants is spanned by $(-z^{15} + z^{27})\mu_1 + (z^{12} - z^{24})\mu_2 - z^{15}\mu_3 + \mu_4$. Similarly the subset of $\mathbb{S}(9, 4)$ with trivial WRT invariants is spanned by $(-z^{84} + z^{108})\mu_0 + (z^{60} - z^{72})\mu_2 - z^{30}\mu_3 + \mu_4$. No non-zero multiple of these elements lies in the ordinary skein module over Λ .

REFERENCES

- [BHMV1] C. Blanchet, N. Habegger, G. Masbaum, P. Vogel, *Three manifold invariants derived from the Kauffman bracket*, Topology **31** (1992), 685-699.
- [BHMV2] C. Blanchet, N. Habegger, G. Masbaum, P. Vogel, *Topological quantum field theories derived from the Kauffman bracket*, Topology **34** (1995), 883-927.
- [G1] P. Gilmer, *A TQFT for wormhole cobordisms over the field of rational functions*, Banach Center Publications **42**, Knot Theory (Warsaw 1995) (V.F.R.Jones, J.Kania-Bartoszyńska, J.H.Przytycki, P.Traczyk, V.Turaev, ed.), 1998, pp. 119-127..
- [G2] ———, *On the WRT representations of mapping class groups*, Proc. A.M.S. (to appear).
- [HP] J.Hoste, J. Przytycki, *The $(2, \infty)$ skein module of lens spaces; a generalization of the Jones Polynomial*, Jour. Knot Th and Ramif. **2** (1993), 321-333.
- [J] L. Jeffrey, *Chern-Simons-Witten invariants of lens spaces and torus bundles, and the semiclassical approximation*, Commun. Math. Phys. **147** (1992), 563-604.
- [L1] R. Lawrence, *Asymptotic expansions of Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants for some simple 3-manifolds*, Journ. Math. Phys. **36** (1995), 6106-6129.
- [L2] ———, *Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants of 3-manifolds as holomorphic functions*, Geometry and physics (Aarhus, 1995), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **184**, Dekker, New York, 1997., pp. 363-377.
- [LR] R. Lawrence and L. Rozansky, *Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants of Seifert manifolds*, preprint March 1997.
- [LL] B. H. Li, T. J. Li, *Generalized Gaussian sums and Chern-Simons-Witten-Jones invariants of lens spaces*, Jour. Knot Th and Ramif. **5** (1996), 184-224.
- [KM] R. Kirby, P. Melvin, *Dedekind sums, μ -invariants, and the signature cocycle*, Math. Ann. **299** (1994), 231-267.
- [MR] G. Masbaum, J. Roberts, *On central extensions of mapping class groups*, Math. Annalen **302** (1995), 131-150.
- [RT] N. Reshetikhin, V. Turaev, *Invariants of 3-manifolds via link-polynomials and quantum groups*, Invent. Math. **103** (1991), 547-597.
- [R] L. Rozansky, *On p -adic properties of the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant*, eprint: mathQA/9806075.
- [Wa] K. Walker, *On Witten's 3-manifold invariants*, preprint (1991).
- [W] E. Witten, *Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial*, Commun. Math. Phys. **121** (1989), 351-399.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BATON ROUGE, LA 70803 U.S.A
E-mail address: gilmer@math.lsu.edu